G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

1902-03
(Muzrai)

File No. 62

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month

SUBJECT.

Alienation of half the inam villa of Seegé Kuppé endowed to the Sri Kasi Viswaraswami temple at Magadi

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
	2/10-11

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT

OFFICE NOTE.

Subject

In the alienation of one half of an inam village alleged to belong to the Sri Kasi Visweswaraswami temple in Magadi

LAST REFERENCE:—

Letter No. 680 dated 6 February 1904 from the Dy Commr Bangalore District

In their petn no 286 dy 20th August 1903, certain residents of Magadi complained of the alienation of one half of the Jodi inam village of Seegaikuppe, gifted to the Sri Kasi Visweswaraswami temple at Magadi, and prayed that the same may be restored to it—

Enquiry was instituted and the records have been received, from which it is observed as follows.

× Flag A

The Seegaikuppe village in question was at first granted to one Sreecanta Saastri on an annual payment of 42-1-0 (P. 7. V.) by His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraj Wodiyar Bahadur III in the year 1812. One Subba Saastri

a descendant of the original grantee gifted away one half of the Jodi village to the temple built by him, in view to the continuance of Padiluta Deeparadhana &c therein in perpetuity. During the Inam Settlement in 1876 the name of the temple has been entered for 1/2 the share, the remaining 1/2 being divided amongst 3 others; and in Col: 18 of the inam Regr. it is stated "Half of this village "was granted in gift to the deity "no 4 (Kasi Visweswara Devar) about "20 years ago by the father of nos 1 & 2 "& uncle of no. 3. No deed of gift "is stated to have been executed "& the alienation is admitted by no. 1." But in the Regr. no mention is made about the "Devadaya" nature of the gift, the whole inam village being treated as "Personal" grant, & confirmed on a combined Quit-rent of Rs 14 0 in the revised Settlement in 1883. In the Quit-Rent Regr.

(Para 7)

8 (para D)

issued in 1880, the name of the temple has been entered for 1/2 the share but the description of the inam, however, is "Personal", the remaining 1/2 being divided between the 3 descendants of the original grantee.

In July 1885, Kamayya sastri, grandson of Upavasa da Subbasastri and Parvatamma wife of Kamayya Sastri's brother mortgaged the whole inam Jodi village to one B. Kasturirangiengar for a consideration of Rs 3000. In the Regd. Mortgage* deed, mention has been made of the gift of 1/2 the village to the temple, but the mortgagors have stated therein that the temple was considered merely as their household deity & treated as such & that the gift of 1/2 of the village to the temple was only nominal & that as their ancestors were in a well-to-do

*. Ex. E

condition, they managed to make such a gift but as they themselves were reduced in circumstances they were unable to ~~condescend~~ assent to the nominal gift & therefore were forced to alienate the temple's share.

= The alienee Kasturi Rangiengar in his deposition before the Amildar has stated that Govt. have not granted 1/2 the village to the temple as Devadaya but that the Jodidar might have so given it & that therefore the name of the temple might have been entered in the Quit-Rent Regr... He has deposed that he is not at all willing to make over 1/2 the share in the village mortgaged to him to the temple under any circs.

One Subbayya, son of Parvatamma — one of the alienees — has deposed that he is unable to redeem the mortgage & restore the 1/2 share to the

the temple but requests that the share which was gifted by his ancestors ~~was~~ might be resumed by Govt. & restored to the instn.

The Amildar reports that ever since the village was mortgaged the temple has received nothing whatever.

The Dy Commr. reports that 1/2 the village is liable to be restored to the temple as per clause B of para 2 of Notn. no 266 dt 17 th Novr 1877; and he requests early sanction as the temple is unjustly deprived of the Inam for the last 18 years.

Submitted

19/2/04

Secretary's remarks:-

Although the whole

village was originally granted as ~~the~~ a personal Inam in the year 1812 by H H the Maharaja Krishnaraj Wadiyar III, still a descendant viz, Subbayya of the original donee gifted away one ~~the~~ half of the village to the Kasi Viswesvaraswami temple for Paditara Deeparadhane &c. This gift was recognized at the Inam Settlement in 1876 At that Settlement the whole village was shewn as "personal", while in the list of Inamdars in one of the columns of the Inam and Quit rent Registers the name of the temple is put down for a half share, while other persons are put down for 1/4 share 1/8 share &c It is not clear how a gift ~~as~~ made for Devadaya purposes and ratified

at the Inam Settlement can be interfered with by the descendents of the donor. The gift to the temple appears to have been made about the year 1856 and the subsequent alienation on mortgage was made in 1885.

Under these circumstances ~~I think~~ it is my humble opinion that the alienation cannot be upheld and that it should be ~~dealt with~~ resumed under clause B of the Notification of 17th November 1877.

Subd to the Dewan.

15/3/04

16649
15.3.04.

07841

As this a Muzrai temple – I so the action recommended is just & can be adopted

16.3.04

Note continued:—

With refce to the Dewan's query ordered on page of this note, enquiry was made of the Dy Commr: who has reported that the temple is not endowed with either cash or land inam by Govt, but that the Archak thereof ~~was stated to have been~~ is in the enjoyment of a Kutti ~~& also~~ and some lands gifted by devotees to the temple. In the extract of the Inam Regr:, ~~of~~ it is observed ~~that~~ the Seegaikuppe Jodi village has been granted as 'Personal inam' but the name of the temple is entered in Col: 15 thereof as 'alienee'

No 1030 d: 21st June 1904

Submitted

21/8/04

Muzrai Secretary's Remarks

The general rule is that, when any religious or charitable institution is endowed by Government with a grant of money or a grant of land (however small), such institution is a Muzrai institution. In the present case, the grant of land was made by a private individual, and the fact of the gift to the temple is noted in the Inam Register. The land having thus become the property of the temple, although it appears to have been managed by the donor subsequent to the gift, the descendants of the donor had, in my humble opinion, no right to alienate it for their own personal benefit. In this view, I think, the rules of 1877 apply to the case, and the land is liable to be resumed. The matter, however, is not free from doubt.

Submitted to the Dewan through the 2nd Councillor.

T. Ananta Sama Rao
30/8/011

70
31.8.011

The temple is no longer
institution and they
get half a share, as
the village is simply
nominal as they
descendants of the
donor were managing
the temple ~~[illegible]~~ and
even after the gift
the village was entitled
to half share in the current
expenses to be met by
the management and.
If the mamuldars' hands.
Rules of 1877 were strictly
applicable.

Sd/ [illegible]
2/9/02

Sent to the Dewan [illegible]
3/9/04

1408
6.9.04

Recd
3/9/04

The 1877 Resolution I believe is not
a mere rule. The land in question
is not an Inam granted by Govt.
In the Inam register the temple Inam
village is shown as personal - and the

Temple is shown as one of the inamdars enjoying body above
What is the effect of such entitlement
is what has now to be decided
Consult the Inam Supt. as to
why the Inam is shown as
personal when it is confirmed
with Temple & whether the
Act of 1877 applies to this
24.10.04

Draft
recdy LA
26/9/04

Draft submtd
27/9/04

Note on

Now a

enquiry was made of the Inam Supt who states in reply that the Inam village was shown as "Personal" & that the rules under the Act of 1877 do not apply to the case.

letter no 40
dt 4th Oct'04

[Mat Vicar Kowdan does not in his any mention about the temple being given as one of the Inamdars amongst whom Inam as per Col: 15 of the Inam Reg., was to be being also noted in the Quit Rent Reg. page 50.]

Submitted
LA 22.10.04

Please make entries

reference to the Inam Supdt
as personally instructed.
29/10/05

Draft submitd
30/10/05

Note contd :—

In reply to the enquiry made of the Inam Superintt, as directed above, ~~that Officer~~ states that the Village was originally Personal and as at the time of the Settlement, one of the descendants of the donee had alienated half of it in favour of the temple and as it was in possession of this half of the Village at the time its name was entered in col: 15 of the Register as one of the enjoyers. As the whole Village was a "Personal" grant, he says that the note of 1877 does not seem ~~to be~~ to be applicable in the case.

Submitted
29.11.05
29/11/05

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Muzrai Secretary's Remarks.

The Inam Superintendent is of opinion that the Rules of 1877 do not seem to be applicable to this case. This opinion is probably due to the circumstance that the grant of the whole village by H.H. the Maharaja was a personal one and that the subsequent grant of half the village to the temple was made by a private individual. This opinion appears correct.

The arguments brought forward by the descendants of the donor to the effect that the gift was only a nominal one and that the temple was treated as a family temple are however rebutted by the fact that during the Inam Settlement the gift was admitted by the donors and the fact noted in the Inam Register, and the Inam Superintendent also says that the temple was in the possession and enjoyment of the portion of the village in question at the time of the Settlement. The gift to the temple seems undisputed and there

arises the question whether in cases of such clear gifts followed by possession and enjoyment any descendants of the original donor may upset the whole previous arrangement on the ground that the original transaction was a private one. Hundreds of cases of such private charities are in existence in the State and, unless something is done by Govt for the ~~further~~ continuance as efficient private charities, it is likely that all such charities will disappear in course of time. No doubt Section 539 of the Civil Procedure Code provides that in cases of misappropriation &c of religious endowments or charities, either the Govt or any two or more persons interested may proceed to Court. But in most instances, the Govt will probably not have the time or the means to proceed to Court in such cases and it will be found that there are no individual persons so interested in the charity and that even if there are such, they may be unable to bear the cost of the necessary civil litigation. It seems necessary therefore in a Native State like Mysore, to efficiently provide ~~for the~~ in a manner

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

similar to the Alienation Rules of 1877, for the permanent continuance of the endowments made private individuals for religious and charitable purposes by means of separate legislation if necessary.

I am of the humble opinion that this and other similar cases may wait for such legislation, which may, if approved, be taken up at an early date.

Submitted to the Dewan
thro' the II Councillor. } Chandrasekhara

9th January 1906

Camp Shimoga.

Recd 12-1-06 / Shy half share of the village assigned to a private trust meant a public temple must passed out of the hands of the donor or his descendants. Both these points

considered, I am still of a opinion that there is no reason for interference nor for apprehending that all endowments made by private persons for public charitable and religious purposes would cease to exist.

S Muthiah
12/1/06

10875
10802
16.1.06

Let the matter wait for the coming legislation. No reply need now be given. 24/1/06

Read
19/1/06

17.1.06

Müz. 84]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

R.P. 132 B Em 1 + 1321
D 15/12/02 –

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 981

dated 3.12.02 received at the Dewan's Office from Sambasastri and others of Magadi, complaining that ~~the~~ half the village of Seegekuppe belonging to the Sri Kasi Viswesvara temple at Kasaba Magadi, has been mis-appropriated by one Kannanasastri is forwarded to the Dy Comr Bangalore for report.

~~is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the~~

By Order,

BANGALORE,
Dec 1902.

Dy ~~Muzrai~~ Secretary
Muz. Dept

Encl to petn

Sub

Please take the Trans=
ferred to the Mungroi
Department for disposal

Order
19/8

15-8-03

20/8/03

286
20-8-03

1903

No. 412

Name of Petitioner: Rama Pandithan and Other heredents

Village: Magadi

Taluk:

District: Bangalore

Date of Arzi: 14-8-03

Date of Receipt: 15-8-03

Date of Disposal:

No. of Enclosure: 2

ABSTRACT

State that half the Jode village of Jode Sheegany-Hoppa which was granted as gift by one Appa vas Subbasastri to Viswaswar Temple and dedicated by him in the Magadi and which was registered in the Inam Settlement in the name of the above

Eshwaran,

by one Kannanasaten of that family; that consequently performance of Pujas to the above God was stopped; that the same are being performed by the petitioners from 10 years which cannot be continued longer; that an endorsement no 1324 d/3-12-02 from Mukhyan Secretary in ref to the petitions submitted in the matter is enclosed and also copy of "Danasasna" is herewith sent.

Pray that the management of the said village be taken to hand management or the ordered be given to the temples management so that Puja &c will be performed without interruption.

M/
19/8/03

286
20-8-03

4/2C
15-8-1903

(3)

2 Enclosures

412

[illegible]

[illegible] 10-12 [illegible] 10 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 8-10 [illegible] 13-12-02 [illegible] 13-12-02 [illegible] 1324 [illegible]

[illegible]

1877 [illegible] 13 [illegible] 189 [illegible]

29 [illegible] 105 [illegible]

[illegible] 17-11-1877 [illegible] 266 [illegible]

[illegible]

ದಾನಪತ್ರ ಪೇಳಿಕೆ —

[illegible]

[illegible] ಚಂದ್ರ ಚಾಲಿಸ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಸ್ಥಿರ [illegible]

[illegible]

ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ನಿ ನಿ ಸಚಿವಾಲಯದ ಅಂಗಾಲಾಂಕಿತ ಸಂ[illegible]

ಗ್ರಾ [illegible] ಯಲ್ಲಿ ಧ್ವಾಗಾಲು ಸಂಬಂಧದ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕದು ಅಲ್ಲಿ

1774 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Register and send to Inspr. Dept.
and BP
11-9-03

561

1903-04

No 666

Name of Petitioner Ramaswamiah and Rama Pandit.

Village Kasba

Taluk Nagadi

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 9 } -9-03
Date of Receipt 11 }

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil.

ABSTRACT

The petrs complain that the Inam land attached to the Sreekantesvara Swami Temple, in Nagadi Fort, were caused, by the Archak of the Temple, to be sold, and that he purchased them in auction and sold them to one Fakirbabu Dodda.

Pray that the

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
561.
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

666 R
11-9-1905

666

[illegible]

[illegible] 1903 [illegible] 9 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 479 [illegible] 33 [illegible] 4 [illegible]
3332

[illegible] 125-0-0

[illegible]

[illegible] 1879 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1893 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

No. 928 Ex/4
928 Dy 31.10.03

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petitions Nos 286 & 561 dated 14th Aug: & 9th Sept 03 received at the Dewan's Office from Rama Pandit and others of Magadi re the alienation of Seegekuppe Village belonging to the Sri Kasi Viswesvara Swami temple at Magadi.

are ~~is~~ forwarded, together with ~~its~~ their two enclosures, to the Dy Commr Bangalore District in continuation of this Office memo No 1323 Dy 15th December 1902.

An early report is requested

By Order,

28/10/03

~~Muzrai~~ Secretary

Muzr: Department

BANGALORE
190

929
Cust to the Petitioners
28/10/03

MGCPB—500—31-8-01

1903/01

From

The Dy Commrs Shiv
Bangalore Dt

To

The Dy Secy to the Govt
of Mysore
Mujrai Deptt

Dated 28th } Octr 1903.
Despatched 29th }

Received

General No.

S No. 372

Enclosure No.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
505
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

Recd
29/10/03

Dy Secy's Memo No 1323 of 15th Decr 1902

Submits report on the petition of Samba Shastri and others complaining that half the village of Lejekuppe belonging to the Sri Kasi Visveswara temple at Magadi has been misappropriated

MGCPB—50 reams—6-12-02.

Bangalore
Dy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 28th October 1903.

From,

H H Sharkey Esq
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To,

L Anantasami Rao Esq BA
Dy Secy to the Govt
of Mysore
Mysore Dept

Sir,

With reference to your official memo No 1323 dated 15th December 1902, forwarding for report petition from Samba Sastri and others, complaining that half the village of Segekuppe, belonging to the Sri Kaneswara temple at Magadi has been misappropriated, I have the honor to state that half the said

said village is entered in the Quit rent register in the name of the temple. The Amildar reports that the whole village was mortgaged to Kasthuri Ranga Iyengar for Rs 1,000 by Ramayya Sastri alias Venkatanarayana sastri and his brother's wife, Parvathamma in July 1885, from which date, the village is in the enjoyment of the mortgagee, Kasthuri Ranga Iyengar who is now reluctant to hand over ½ the village to the temple. (vide his statement ferist No 3). From the time of the mortgage, nothing was paid to the temple. It is also reported that Subbayya, a descendant of the original owners is not in a position to redeem the village. Under these circumstances, ½ the village is liable to be restored to the temple, as per clause 6 of para 2

of Notification No 266 dated 17th January 1877, for which, I beg early sanction may be accorded, as the temple is unjustly deprived of the Inam for the last 18 years.

2. The depositions of Kasturi Ranjingar and Subbiah are also submitted with the petitions referred to in your memo.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

[illegible]

Dy Commrs Sainr

[illegible]

MUZRAÏ SECRETARIAT.

19 —19

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department

To

The Deputy Commr
Bangalore Dt

Dated 9 Novr 1903

Despatched

Received

General No. 1096
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

Adverting to his letter No 372 of 28th Octr 1903 reporting on the alienation of ½ of the Sigekuppa village endowed to the Sri Kasiviswesvara Swami temple at Magadi, has the honor to request that the original document relating to the sale of the ~~portion~~ village in question ~~might~~ may be obtained

MGCPB—1,000—21-7-03.

forwarded to this office for reference.

2. The enclosures are rec'd herewith for reference & resubmission

By order.

7/11/03

Dy Secy
Mun Dept

6/11/03

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

19 —19

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Superintendent
of Inam Settlements

Dated 9 Nov 1903.

Despatched

Received

General No. 1097.
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to request to be furnished with a copy of the detailed Inam Register pertaining to the grant of ½ of the Sigekuppa village in the Sabainur Hobli of the Magadi Taluk as inam to the Sri Kasi Viswesvara Devaru temple in Magadi.

By order

9/11/03
Dy Secretary
Muzrai

6/11/03

MGCPB—1,000—21-7-03.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
565
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

1903/04

From
The Dy Commr & Sirs
Bangalore Dt

To
The Dy Secy to the Govt
of Mysore
Muzrai Deptt

Dated 9th
Despatched 10th } Nov 1903
Received

General No.
S No. 421.

Enclosure No

Refers
ks
10/11/03

Dy Secy's official memo No 928 of
31 Oct 1903

States that the report re
the alienation of Segehuppe
village belonging to the Sri Kasi
Visheswara Swami temple at Magadi
was already sent

No. 421
In 4

Bangalore District
Dy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 9th November 1903

From,

H St Sparkes Esq
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To,

L Ananta Rama Rao Esq BA
Dy Sheristadar to the Commissioner
Mysore Dekhl

Sir,

With reference to your official memo No 928 dated 31st October 1903, forwarding for report petition from Rama Pundit and others of Magadi, regarding the alienation of Segekuppe village, belonging to the Sri Kasi Visveswara Swami temple at Magadi,

I have the honor to state that, the report

report called for was submitted to you with this office letter No 372 dated 28th October 1903.

2. The enclosures received with the above are re submitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

[illegible]

By Commission

[illegible]

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
873
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

1903

From The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

To The Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore, Inam Department

Dated 30th November 1903

Despatched

Received December

General No. 71

No.

Enclosure No. One

Refer
RS
2/12/03

His docket No. 1097 of the 9 December 1903.

As requested in the above, has the honor to forward herewith, a copy of the Inam Register of the Seegekuppe village, Satnur hobli, Magadi Taluk.

Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

Register sheet No. 184.

Taluk Inagadi.

Hobli. Satnoor.

Village. Seegekuppe Jodi.

Copy of the Register of Inams in the Village of Godi Seegekuppe — in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent.	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5

Title - Deed No. 860

Final Title Deed No. 466

Revised Final Title Deed No. 98

personal

Taluk pymash account of 1807-08.

K-C-P. 3ds.

Ayakut -

Dry - 36-18-5 1/4 30

Wet - 4-18-8 1/4 1 1/16

41-16-13 1/2 31 1/16

Deduct gare Inams. —

Dry - 1-11-4 1/4 40

Wet 1-1-1 3/4 5 15/16

2-12-6 45 15/16

Balance. 39-4-7 42 15/16

particulars

poramboke Dry } 21-14-12 21

cultivated. Dry } 13-12-4 3/4 19

Wet } 3-17-6 1/4 2 15/16

Title-Deed No. 43896. ——

Date of preparation. 1-1-77. —

Date of issue to Taluk. 9-7-77. —

Final Title-Deed No. 466.

Date of preparation. 1-7-80.

Date of issue to Taluk. —

Sammat of Satnoor *in the Taluk of* Magadi

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	Description of Inam.	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit rent, *i. e.*, jodi, &c., amount of latter to be entered.
6	7	8	9

Beriz. —

P. F. V. Rs-as-p
57-1-- 166-1-9

Deduct jari Inam.

P. F. V. Rs-as-p
2-7-12. 8-1-2

Balance

54-3-4. 158--7

For personal benefit

jodi.
P. F. V. Rs-a-p
42-1-- 122-7-7

paid from the year 1815.

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM.

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11
	One original Sunnad of His Highness the late Maharaja of Mysore, dated 19th November 1812 directing the then Amildar Sheshagiri Row of the Magadi Taluk to allow this Village to be enjoyed by Sreekanta-Sastri on an annual Jodi payment 42-1-0 P-7-V as before. Original produced.

of Bangalore.

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14

Hoozoor sunnad Durafty account of 1815 —

Seegekuppe Village — 1
Daklies —
Kalpollia — 1
Insodloopollia — 1
Kurburpollia — 1
Cosibinagudda pollia 1
Kuttes — 3
Amay — 1
Total Bering — 5 7-1-4 (P. 7 V)
Deduct jari Inam — 2-7-12
Bering Sodee to be remitted in physalaty acct. 12-2-4
15-4-4
Balance godi. 42-1-4
Inamdar Sreekanta Sastry.
Huzur godi account of 1825.
Seegekuppe Village. — 1
pollias. — 4
Tanks — 3
Kuttay. — 1
Sreekanta Sastry. —
Bering — 5 7-1-4 (P. 7 V)
Deduct jari Inam. 2-7-8
Bering Kummd 12-2-8
15-4-4
Balance godi. 42-1-4
Huzur godi account of 1830.
Kuttes — 3
— 1

Taluk pyinaish. account of 1807-8

Total Ayakat

	C-K-P-	yds
Dry	36-18-5 1/4	30
Wet	4-18-8 1/4	1 1/76
Total	41-16-13 1/2	31 1/76

as per following particulars. —

	C-K-P.	yds
Dry. —		
perampoke	21-14-12	21
cultivated	13-12-4 3/4	19
Total	35-7-4 3/4	40
Wet. —		
cultivated	3-17-6 1/4	2 15/76
jari Inam Dry	1-11-4 1/4	40
wet	1-1-1 3/4	5 5/76
Grand Total	41-16-13 1/2	31 1/76.

The rest as in 1825

of the Kandidroog

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS.

Particulars regarding present owner If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c, of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name and age, &c	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year.
15	16	17	18
Inagady			
1. Venkatanarana sastry. 40. $\frac{1}{8}$ alias Kunnana sastry	Brother's sons of the party in 1815. Huzur Sannad Daraftyacts	2 Daughters	Half of this Village was granted in gift to the deity No. 4 in column 15 about 20 years ago by the fathers of No. 1 and 2 and made of No. 3. No Deed of Gift is stated to have been executed and the alienation is admitted by No. 1
2. Krishna Shastry 39 $\frac{1}{8}$		1. Son.	
3. Rama Sastry alias Kucha Sastry 50. $\frac{1}{4}$		1 Son	
4. Kasi Visweswar devar. $\frac{1}{2}$	Alienee		

Division.

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant ~~and confirmation~~ by the Inam Commissioner
19	20

1. Whole grant Valid

2. Taluk pymaish account of 1807-8 is available in the taluk records and has been adopted for column 4. —

3. This Inam is subject to all cesses. No Irrigation cess was ever paid by the Inamdars. The Local Fund cess now paid is Rupees 5-3-1 at the rate of half an anna in the Rupee of the Total Beriz. —

4. The Nirup, quoted in column 11 confers no right on the Inamdar to the sayer and abkari Revenues of the jody Village or any other items They will continue to be credited to Government as hitherto. —
The Forest item will be governed by the Chief Commissioners Notifications on the subject. —

5. A valuation Survey is desired by the Inamdars.

6. Half of the jody Village has been registered in the name of the diety No 4 in column 15 at the instance of the parties. —

7 The Beriz as per Huzur sannad Darafly account of 1815 in column 14 has been adopted. —

8. To be confirmed on $\frac{1}{8}$ adinterim quit-rent Viz.
Rupees — 4-7-4

Column 19th continued.

Rupees 4-7-1 plus the existing jodi Rupees 122-7-7 or in all Rupees 127-- under Rule VI clauses C and D

9. One Title-Deed agreed to and compulsory. —

10. A printed copy of the Chief Commissioner's Notification No. 292 of 13th February 1874 regarding the survey of whole Inam Villages was handed over to the In whose acknowledgement is taken on the vernacular statement ——

11. No boundary disputes are stated to exist.

12. The Title-Deed may be given to No. 3 as the eldest member. No. 1 consents to this. No. 2 is the younger brother of No. 1 ——

2

3 (Signed) T. Srinivasiengar
Amin
9.11.76

N.B For better security. No. 3 wishes the Title-Deed to be given to No. 1. ——

4 (Intld) T. Srinivas

note

A Independent Inams. —— 1

1 personal

B. Subsequent alienations need not be noticed under the Rules ——

C. Revenue enjoyed by the Inamdars.

1. Land Revenue
2. Inoturfa
3. Forest
4. Amroye.

Column 19th continued. —

D. Statistics.

1. Village — Ablee — 1

2. Dakhies. —

1. Inoodla pollia

2. Hanumanpollia

3. Holair pollia — 3

3. Ruined Tank — 1

4. Kutte. — 1

5. Ruined Anicut — 1

6. Stone built Temples — 2

7. Stone built wells — 2

8. Tope — 1

9 Looms — 11

10. Mud roofed Houses — 16

11. Thatched huts — 13

12. Stone built Mantapums — 1

13. Police Choultry — 1

14. population — 100.

Men —	Women	Boys —	Girls
35.	25 —	25. —	15

E. Boundaries to be fixed by the survey Department.

(Signed). T. Srinivasiengar

9-11-76

Column 19th continued

Boundaries of the Village as per the Map received with the Manager of the Survey Superintendent's office's No. 81 of the 13th April 1880. —

North. — Galagadahalli Village

East. — Subrayabyadarahalli Inam Village
Kayamgutta Sakamavanahalli Village
and Koramangala Village

West. — Gangapura Village of the Kunigal Taluk and Alathuppe Village

South. — Gangapur and Kendanahalli
Villages in the Kunigal Taluk and
Kaldevanhalli Village. —

Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner

Column. 20

1. Whole grant. personal. gari. —
2. Confirmed on an adinterim combined quit of Rupees 127 and subject to all Local Fund and Irrigation cesses. —
3. Rights to sayer, Abkari & Forests are reserved. -
4 A valuation survey is desired. —

(signed) Robert Cole
Asst Inam Commr
in Inam Commr

28.11.76

5. The statement showing the survey area and valuation of the Village has been received with the survey superintendents docket No. 240 of the 31st March 1880.
6. In fixing the value of the Minor Inams in the Village the proportion which the old valuation of the Village bears to its survey valuation has been the basis as per Inam Commissioner's letter No. 512 of the 30th May 1879. —
7. The old valuation of the Village being pagodas. 57-1- (Rs 166-1-9) and the survey valuation being Rupees 362 the latter gives to one fanam of the former Rs 4-10-2 upon which the valuation of the Minor Inams is based

Column 20th continued. – –

8. The following tabular statement shews the survey area and valuation of the Village. —

Survey area and valuation of the Village. —					Deduct for Minor Inam				Remainder			
Uncultivable.	426-13	27	"	"	"	"	-	"	426-13	27	"	"
Dry.	283-2	291	13	8	5-24	11	-	"	277-18	280	13	8
Garden.	13-25	17	15	11	"	"	"	"	13-25	17	15	11
Rice.	5-23	26	7	8	" -28	6	"	"	4-35	20	7	8
	728-23	363 or 362	5	3	6-12	17	"	"	722-11	346 or 345	5	3

Former Jodi ——————————— 122-7-7

1/8 Quit-rent on the difference of Rupees. 222-8-5. } 27-13-1

150-4-8
or
150- " - "

(Signed) Robert Cole
Asst to Inam Settlo
6-5-80.

Revised as per the Dewan's proceedings No. 4701-9/133 dated 2nd September/81. —

Vide the statement received with the survey superintendent's docket No. 799 of the 23rd December/82.

	stal	Seers.	Krishnaraj	A-g
× ~~Dry~~	~~" -4-7~~	~~71~~	~~" -8-14~~	~~5-24~~
~~Wet~~	~~" -3-7~~	~~55~~	~~" -6-14~~	~~" -28~~

x Deducting the extent and Assessment of the Minor Inam

⊗ at 25% of the Survey Assessments

Uncultivable.	a-g 443-"	RS. a-P 27 " - "
Dry		
Cultivated.	164-31 x	168-4-7 x
Cultivable.	112-27.	28-2-3 ⊗
Wet		
Cultivated.	4-35 x	20-7-9 x
Garden		
Cultivated	12-37	11-1-11
Cultivable.	"-28	"-3-6 ⊗
Total.	738-38.	261-3-11

11. Confirmed finally on a combined Quit-Rent of Rupees 140 avoiding fractions —

2. This village is not subject to revision under the Government Pro: No. 14223-5 / 67 Wo-93 dt 17th July 1894 vide the Survey Supt's Dt. 25/9/83. Yadi No. 184 dt-25-5-96

(Signed) J. P. Grant
Supt. of Inam settle

comd.

"Copy"

ated. 30th November 1903.
Camp at Davangere.

J P Grant

Superintendent of Inam Settle

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
1677
MINERAL SECRET

1903 W 4

From The Dy Commissioner Bangalore Dt

To The Dy Secy to the Govt of Mysore Mizami Dept

Dated 6th February 1903

Despatched 8th February 1903

Received

General No.

S. No. 680

Enclosure No. 1 Pahasi with copy of document

Dy Secy's No 1096 of 9th Nov 03.

Forwards copy of document re mortgage of Segekuppe village for the Maya Dy Tk produced by B Kasi Ranga Iyengar as the original is filed with the Court

Recd
8/2/04

Submitted proceedings with an office note

SW 9 680

Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 6th February 04.

Sir: papers to be returned
a copy of document

From

H. H. Sparkes Esq.
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To

L. Anantasamy Pero Esq. B.A.
Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Muzrai Department

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 1096 of 9th November 1903, calling for the original document relating to the mortgage of Segehuppe village of the Nagadi Taluk, I have the honor to forward, herewith, copy (from the Sub Registrar's office) of the document produced by B. Kasturi Rangha Iyengar, as the original is reported to be filed in a Court.

2. The vernacular papers received with the above are resubmitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most obedient Servant,
Narasingh Rao Purnaiya
for Deputy Commissioner.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

19 —19

From M. Shamanna Rao, Esq. M.A.
~~L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.~~
Offg ~~Deputy~~ SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT

To

The Dy Commr
Bangalore District

Dated 7th
Despatched Camp Shimoga
Received ~~March~~ April 1904.
General No. 3115
Muzrai F. No. 62-1901
Enclosure No. 1 file

This letter no 680 dated 8th February 1904 forwarding a copy of the mortgage Deed relating to the Sugge-Kuppa village in the Anaganadi Taluk.

— — —

Have the kindness to enquire whether the Kasi Viswanatha temple in the said village is in the management of a

any such Tusdik or

land Imam separately set-

apart from Government,

in addition to ~~[illegible]~~ the land endowment-

~~[illegible]~~ under dispute.

Files returned for

reference and resubmission

(Devani's order) 5-4-64

copy — Secy, Army Dept.

21/3/64

(Shungu)

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
3360
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

190304

From The Dy Commissioner Bangalore District

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore Muzrai Dept

Dated 21st } June 1904
Despatched 22nd }

Received

General No. S 1030
No.

Enclosure No 1 file

His docket No. 3069 dated 7th April 1904

States that the Temple of Sri Kasi Visweswara Swami at Magadi is not endowed with either cash or land by Government &c

22/6

R

Please call for an extract from the Inam Rules, from the Inam Instr.

DS
9/7/04

MGCPB—50 reams.—6-12 02

No. 1030 Deputy Commissioner's Office
File Bangalore District
Dated Bangalore 21st/22 June 1904

From D. Devaraj Urs Esq.
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To
L. Anantasam Rao Esq. B.A
Secretary to Govt. of Mysore
Mysore Department.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 369 dated 6th April 1904, enquiring whether the Sri Kasi Viswaswara Swami Temple at Magadi is in the enjoyment of any other land or cash Inams, &c, I have the honor to state that the temple is not endowed with either cash or land Inam by Govt. But the Archak is in the enjoyment of a Vritti in the Nethenahalli village and also survey No. 67 measuring 1 acre and 11 Guntas assessed at Rs. 5 at Halkabele which lands were given out by devotees to the temple.

2. The vernacular papers received with the above are herewith resubmitted for orders.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner Bangalore

MUZRAI

1904—1905

FROM The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Muz: Dept

To The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements
in Mysore

Dated

Despatched 15th July 1904.

Received

General No. 277
Muzrai F. No. 62-01

Enclosure —

Has the honor to request
an extract from the
furnished with ~~a copy of the~~
Register pertaining to the grant
of the Inam lands endowed to the
Sri Kasi Visweswaraswamy temple
at Magadi.

2 The above lands are situate
at Sigekuppa in the Magadi Talu

By order

13/7/04

Secretary

12/7/04

MGGPB—2,000—9-6-02

23/7/04

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
303
SECRETARIAT

1904

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE
BANGALORE.

To

Da

Do

Re

As requested in his docket No 277 Misc: F 6201 of the 13th July 1904 has the honor to forward herewith, a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the grant of Inam lands to Sri Kasi = Visweswara Swami temple at Magadi —

Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

MGCPB—28-12-02—200

Register sheet No. 184
Taluk .. Magadi
Hobli .. Satnoor
Village .. Seegekuppe
Vodi

Copy of the Register of Inams in the village of Seegekuppe Pole in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Extent Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
	2	3	4	5
	Personal	Taluk Pymash account of 1807-08		
		Ayakut	K-C P Jrta	
		Dry	36-18-5¼-30	
			4-18-8¼ 1 1/16	
			41-16-13½ 31 1/16	
		Deduct gun inams		
		Dry	1-11-4¼-40	
		wet	1-1-1¾-5 15/16	
			2-12-6-45 15/16	
		Balance	39-4-7-42 15/16	
		Particulars		
		Porambokke Dry	21-14-12-21	
		Cultivated Dry	13-12-4¾ 19	
		wet	3-17-6¼ 2 15/16	

Saminat of Latnoor — in the Taluk of Macapur —

		DESCRIPTION TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c, whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, or liable to quit rent, i.e. jodi, &c, amount of latter to be entered
6	7	8	
Zerib R a p 57-1-0 - 166-1-9 Deduct Jagir Inam P. F. V. R a p 2-7-12 - 8-1-2 Balance 54-3-4 — 158-0-7		For personal benefit	Jodi P. F. V. R a p 42-1-0 - 122-7-7 Paid from the year 1815. —

in the District

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11
	One original Hukoop of H H late Maharajah of Mysore dated 19th November 1812 directing the then Amildar Seshagiri Rao of the Magadi Taluk to allow this Village the enjoyed by Sreekanta Sastri on an annual Jodi payment of P.F. 42-1-0 as original produced.

of

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the account subsequent to 1810
12	13	14

Taluk Pymaishy account
of 1807-8
Total Ayakat C K Pts
Dry 36-18-5¼ 30
wet 4-18-8¼ 1 1/16
Total 41-16-13½ 31 1/16
As per following particulars
Dry C K Pts 9 00
Corampoke 21-14-12-21
Cultivated 13-12-4¾-19
Total — 35-7 ¾-40
wet
Cultivated - 3-17-6¼-2 15/16
Jari Inam dry — 1-11-4¼-40
wet - 1-1 1¾-5 5/16
Grand Total 41-16-13½-31 1/16

Huzur Inam Dam 5
account of 1815
Seegakuppe village — 1
Dakhies
Kalpolliu — 1
Mosadlopolliu — 1
Koorburpolliu — 1
Obsbinagoddapolliu — 1
Kuttes — 3
Anay — 1
P 7-V
Total Beriz — 57-1-u
Deduct jari Inam — 2-7-12
Beriz Rodi tlee
Remitted in
Fiysalatz accounts — 12-2-4
15-u-u
Balance jodi — 42-1-u
Inamdar Srikantasastry
Huzur jodi account of 1825
Seegakuppe village — 1
Pollias — 4
Tanks — 3
Kuttay — 1
Srikantasastry
P 7-V
Beriz — 57-1-u
Deduct Jari Inam 2-7-8
Beriz Kumma 12-2-8
15-u-u
Balance jodi — 42-1-u
Huzur jodi account of 1830
Kuttes — 3
Anay — 1
The last acc in 1825

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the mam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns			
Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Magnets			
1. Venkataramanasastry alias Kunnamasastry 40 — 1/8	Brother's sons of the party in 1815 H.S. D. account	2 Daughters	Holds of the Village areas granted in Giftor the Rich Fasli 4 in Col. 15 about 20 years ago by the father of Fasli 1 and 92 and 2 in Fasli 3. No deed of Gift is stated to have been executed, and the alienation is admitted by No. 1 —
2. Krishnasastry 39 — 1/8		1 Son	
3. Ramasastry alias Kucha Sastry 50 1/4		1 Son	
4. Kasee Viswanathan Devam 1/2	alienee	"	

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	~~Review by the special Assistant and by the Inam Commissioner~~
19	~~20~~

1. Whole Grant – Valid –
2. Taluk Symaish account of 1807-8 is available in the taluk records, and has been adopted for Column 4.
3. This Inam is subject to all Cesses – No deviation Cess was ever paid by the Inamdars. The Local fund Cess now paid is Rupees 5-3-1 at the rate of half an anna in the Rupee of the total Beriz –
4. The Items quoted in Col. 11 Confers no right on the Inamdar to the Sayer and Abkari revenues of the Jodi Village or any other items – They will Continue to be credited to Government as hitherto. –

The Forest item will be governed by the Chief Commr's notification on the subject –

5. A Valuation Survey is desired by the Inamdars. –
6. Half of the Jodi Village has been registered in the name of the deity No 4 in Col. 15 at the instance of the parties –
7. The Beriz as per R & D account of 1815 in Col. 14 has been adopted –
8. To be Confirmed on 1/8 ad interim quit-rent viz Rs. 4-7-1 plus the existing Jodi Rs 122-7-7 or in all Rupees 127 – under Rule VI Clauses C and D –
9. Due Title-Deed agreed to and Compulsory.
10. A printed Copy of the Chief Commr's notification No 292 of 13th February 1874 regarding the Survey of whole Inam Villages was handed over to the

Inamdar

(Col. 19 Cont^d 1)

Inamdar whose acknowledgement is taken on the Vernacular statement.

11. No boundary disputes are stated to exist.

12. The Title Deed may be given to No. 3 as the eldest member. No 1 Consents to this, No 2 is the younger brother of No I

(Signed) T. Krishnaswamy Iyer
Asst
9-11-76

N.B
For better security No. 3 wishes the Title Deed to be given to No I.

/ Initialled / T. Krishnaswamy Iyer

Note

A. Independent Inam ——— 1
1. Personal

B. Subsequent alienations need not be noticed under the Rules.

C. Revenue enjoyed by the Inamdars —
1. Land Revenue
2. Mohtarfa
3 Forest
4 Amrong

D. Statistics

1. Village - asli
2. Dakhlas
1. Moodlapolice
2. Hanuman — do
3 Holair — do 3
3. Ruined Tank 1
4. Kutte 1
5. Ruined Anicut 1
6 Stone built Temples 2
7 — do — wells 2
8 Topes 1
9. Looms 11
10 Mud roofed Houses 16
11. Thatched huts 13
12 Stone built Munsapum 1
13 Police Choultry 1

(Col 17 Contd)

14 Population — 100

Men — Women — Boys — Girls
35 — 25 — 25 — 15 —

No 1701-9
133

E. Boundaries The fixed by the Survey Department

(Signed) T. Cramiraseinger
Asst

9-11-76

the
Dwcr

Boundaries of the Village as per the map received with the Manager of the Survey Supt His Office No 81 of the 13th April 1882

North — Yalagadahalli village
East — Subroyabzadarahalli Inam Village
Kon: Sakaniaromahalli village & Kora-
-mangala Village —
West — Ganagapura village of the Kunigal Taluk.
& Alakuppe village —
South — Ganagapur & Kandanahalli villages in the Kunigal Taluk & Kaldevanahalli village —

into
s.

(Column 20)

Ans

1 Whole grant — Personal — Inam
2. Confirmed on an ad-interim Combined Quit rent of Rs: 127, and Subject to all Local Fund & Irrigation Cesses.
3 Rights to Sayer, Abkari & Forests are reserved —
4. A Valuation Survey is desired.

in
17
dents

(Signed) Robt Cole
Supt of Inam Settlt.
29/11/76.

The

(Col. 20 Contd.)

5. The statement showing the Survey area and Valuation of the Village has been received with the Survey Supt's docket no 240 of the 31st March 1880.

6. In fixing the value of the Minor Inams in the village the proportion which the old valuation of the Village bears to Survey valuation has been the basis as per Inam Commr's letter No-512 of the 30 May 1879-

7- The old valuation of the Village being Pag: 57-1-- = (Rs: 166-1-9). and the Survey valuation being Rs: 362, the latter gives to one fanam of the former Rs: -10-2 upon which the valuation of the Minor Inams is based-

8. The following tabular statement shews the Survey area and valuation of the Village-

Survey area and valuation of the Village -					Deduct for 1 Minor Inam				Remainder			
Uncultivable	426·13	27	-	-	-	-	-		426·13	27	-	-
Dry	283·2	291	13	8	5-24	11	-	-	277·18	280	13	8
Garden	13·25	17	15	11	-	-	-	-	13·25	17	15	11
Rice	5·23	26	7	8	-28	6	-	-	4·35	20	7	8
	728·23	363	5	3	6·12	17	-	-	722·11	346	5	3
			or								or	
		362	-	-						345	-	-

Former Jodi ———— 122 7 7

1/8 Quit Rent on the difference of Rs: 222-8-5 ———— 27-13-1

150-4-8

or

150-- --

(Signed) Robt. Cole

6-5-80 Supt. of Inam Settls.

(Col: 20 Contd)

9. Revised as per the Dewan's Proceedings No. 4701-9/33 dated 2nd September 1881.

10. Vide the statement received with the Survey Supdt's Docket No 799 of the 23rd Dec 1882.

	A. G.	Rs a p (tools)
Uncultivable Dry	443-..	27-..
Cultivated	164·31	168-4-7
Culturable	112-27	28-2-3
Wet		
Cultivated	4·35	20-7-8
Garden		
Cultivated	12·37	17-1-11
Culturable	2·28	3-6
Total	738·38	261-3-11

× Deducting the extent & assessment of the Inam Inam @ 25% of the Survey Assessment

11 Confirmed finally on a Combined Rent: Rent of Rs: 140, avoiding fractions.

(Signed) J. P. Grant

25/9/83 (Supdt of Inam Settlt)

12 This village is not subject to revision under the Govt. Proceedings No 14223-5/P.T. 100-93 of 17th February 1894, vide the Survey Superintendent's Lr No 1840 of 25-5-96 -

(Signed) J. P. Grant.

15/9/99. (Supdt of Inam Settlt.)

Inam Supdt's Office.
Bangalore 21st July 1904.
22

Copy:

J. P. Grant

(Supdt of Inam Settlt)

Contd

Murgiya

No: 1233
N: 7:62-07 / 29:9:04.

To

The Superintendent

of Crown Lands & Forests

in Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to your Docket No 15 of 21st July 1904 forwarding copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the grant of Inam lands to the Sri Kasi Viswesvaraswami temple at Magadi, I am directed to state that the land in question is not an Inam granted by Govt. In the Inam Reg: the Inam village of Seegenahalli is shown as "personal" and the temple ...

as one of the Inamdars enjoying a half share (vide col. 1, of the Rep.). I am to request the favour of your furnishing information on both the Inam ~~to~~ is shown as "Personal" when it is confirmed to the temple.

2. The half share in the village belonging to the temple is reported to have been alienated. I am to request you be so good as to ~~inform me~~ state in your opinion whether the Rules under Notn. No 266 dt 17th Novr 1877

[illegible] for

for the route of

land or [illegible] [illegible]

apply to the [illegible]

reference.

[illegible]

27/9/04

[illegible]

[illegible]

27/9/04

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
1494

1904

From The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore Revenue Department.

Dated 14th
Despatched 14th
Received
} October

General No. 40
No.

Enclosure No.

Giving opinion in the matter of settlement of the village of Seegai Kappe in the Magadi Taluk as "Personal".

No. 10

Inam Superintendent's Office
Bangalore, 14 October 1904

To,
The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Miscellaneous Department.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 1233 / M7 b2-c1 of the 24th September 1904, I have the honor to state that the Inam village of Sangankuppe in the Nagar Taluk was settled as "Personal", as, at the time of the original grant, it was given to one Srikanta Sastry (vide column 11 of the Inam Register) on Jodi tenure; and therefore, in my opinion, the rules under Notification No. 266 dated 17th November 1877 do not apply to the case under reference.—

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

H Spunt

Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

(Dft as per order 5-8)

No. 2089 B/2. 11. 05

To, The Superintendent of Inam Settlements

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 40 dt 14th Oct. 1904 giving opinion in the matter of the Settlement of the Inam Village of Seegaikuppe in the Nagadi Taluk ~~as "Personal"~~; I am directed to ~~state~~ observe that although the Inam Village is shown as "Personal" ~~& the~~ in temple is shown as one of the Inamdars enjoying a half share, ~~and to request~~ whether ~~in~~ the report

~~to be informed as to~~

~~what is the effect of~~ or

of

Such settlement is what has now the

~~the favour of an early~~ It is also a point

~~reply is requested.~~ for consideration

why the whole Income is

shown as

I have the

Leg/Mag Delhi when half of it

has been confirmed

to the temple. I am

to request that you

will kindly consider

these points and

the good with

opinion as to

the note of 1877

he made of

to this case under

above circumstances

Ds 31/10/05

2 Yrs

2389
27.11.05

Papers
JS
27/11/05

1905

From The Superintendent of Settlements in Upper

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras Revenue Department

Dated 24th
Despatched 25th
Received
General No.
No. 71

Enclosure No.

Submitting opinion in the matter of settlement half the village of Singanapalle in the Madgadi Taluk as Devadaya —

Inam Superintendent's offe
No. 71 Bangalore dated 24th Novembe 25

From The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

Office noted & submitted
TH 29 11 05

To, The Secretary to the Governme of Mysore, Inam Depa-ment

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 2089 dated 2nd November 1905, I have the honor to state that the village of Be in the Nagadi Taluk was originally granted as "Personal Inam", one of descendants of the Donee alienated the village in favour of the Deity and as the Devaru was in possession of half the village at the time of the Inam Settlement, its name is entered in Col. 15 of the Register as one of the enjoyers. As the whole village was a personal grant, Notification of 1877 does not seem to be applicable to the case.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Serva

Suptt. Inam Settlts in Mys